

**Combined Use of Vaginal Misoprostol
with Intracervical Foley Catheter
versus Vaginal Misoprostol alone in
Induction of Labor at Term Pregnancy**
Randomized Controlled Clinical Study

Thesis

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree
in Obstetrics and Gynecology*

By

Huda Mohamed Elsayed Mohamed

M.B.B.,Ch – Ain Shams University 2013

Affiliated Resident in OB/GYN Dept.

Ain Shams University Maternity Hospital

Under supervision of

Prof. Dr. Khaled Hassan Swidan

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Ahmed Abdel Shafy El-Shahawy

Lecturer in Obstetrics and Gynecology

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Abbass

Lecturer in Obstetrics and Gynecology

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Cairo - 2018

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

لسببائك لا علم لنا
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت
العليم العظيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **ALLAH**, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.*

*I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Khaled Hassan Swidan**, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology - Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University for his keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.*

*I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Dr. Ahmed Abdel Shafy El-Shahawy**, Lecturer in Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.*

*I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Abbass**, Lecturer in Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his great help, active participation and guidance.*

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Last but not least, the authors would like to thank patients, labor ward staff and consultants for their contribution through the study period.

Huda Mohamed Elsayed Mohamed

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures.....	ii
List of Abbreviations	iv
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	6
Review of Literature	
▪ Physiology of Labor	7
▪ Induction of Labor	23
▪ Prostaglandins	45
▪ Misoprostol	59
▪ Mechanical methods; Balloon devices and Catheters.....	79
Patients and Methods.....	85
Results	99
Discussion.....	113
Summary	122
Conclusion and Recommendations.....	124
References	125
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Modified Bishop System Cervical Scoring	29
Table (2):	Modified Bishop Score	90
Table (3):	94
Table (4):	Characteristics of patients in both study groups.....	100
Table (5):	Main outcome measures in both study groups.....	101
Table (6):	Incidence of maternal and fetal complications and adverse outcomes in both study groups.....	104
Table (7):	Neonatal outcomes in both study groups	106
Table (8):	Incidence of adverse neonatal outcomes in both study groups.....	107
Table (9):	Kaplan-Meier analysis for the time from induction to active stage of labor.....	109
Table (10):	Risk analysis for relevant unwanted outcomes or side effects.....	111
Table (11):	Regression analysis.....	112

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	Uterine smooth muscle.....	18
Figure (2):	Syntocinon drug	31
Figure (3):	Dinoprostone vaginal inserts	33
Figure (4):	Hygroscopic dilators dilapan.....	37
Figure (5):	Cervical ripening balloon.....	38
Figure (6):	Cervical ripening balloon.....	38
Figure (7):	Stripping of the Membranes.....	39
Figure (8):	Amniohook.....	40
Figure (9):	Amniotomy	40
Figure (10):	The structure of the prostaglandins	46
Figure (11):	PGE2	48
Figure (12):	Misoprostol chemical structure.....	61
Figure (13):	Cytotec tablets	65
Figure (14):	Vagiprost vaginal suppositories.....	65
Figure (15):	Misotac tablets.....	66
Figure (16):	The <i>partograme WHO 2008</i>	95
Figure (17):	Consort chart of participants through the study.....	99
Figure (18):	Percentage of patients delivering within or beyond 12 hours from induction of labor.	102
Figure (19):	Percentage of patients delivering by NVD or CS.....	103
Figure (20):	Mean latent period and induction to delivery time in both study groups. Error bars represent the 95% confidence interval.	103
Figure (21):	Incidence of maternal and fetal complications and adverse outcomes in both study groups.....	105

List of Figures (Cont...)

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (22):	Mean Apgar score at 1 and 5 minutes in both study groups. Error bars represent the 95% confidence interval.	107
Figure (23):	Incidence of adverse neonatal outcomes in both study groups.	108
Figure (24):	Kaplan-Meier curves for the time to active stage of labor. Median time to active stage of labor = 15 hours in group A versus 11 hours in group B. The difference between both Kaplan-Meier curves is statistically significant (Log-rank test chi-squared = 55.309, df = 1, p-value <0.001). Hazard ratio = 3.307, 95% CI = 2.108 to 5.187.	110

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
<i>χ</i>	<i>Chi square</i>
<i>μg</i>	<i>Microgram</i>
11 β-HSD1	<i>11 β-Hydroxy Steroid Type 1</i>
ACOG	<i>American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists</i>
AJOG	<i>American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology</i>
AROM	<i>Artificial rupture of the membranes</i>
ATP	<i>Adenosine triphosphate</i>
BJOG	<i>British Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology</i>
C AMP	<i>Cyclic adenosine monophosphate</i>
C GMP	<i>Cyclic guanine monophosphate</i>
CBC	<i>Complete blood count</i>
CL	<i>Cervical length</i>
COX	<i>Cyclooxygenase enzyme</i>
CRH	<i>Corticotropin Releasing Hormone</i>
CTG	<i>Cardiotocography</i>
Cx43	<i>Connexin 43</i>
DNA	<i>Deoxyribonucleic acid</i>
EFW	<i>Estimated fetal weight</i>
FC	<i>Foley's catheter</i>
FHR	<i>Fetal heart rate</i>
GR	<i>Glucocorticoid Receptor</i>
HETEs	<i>Hydroxy eicosatetraenoic acid</i>
HPA	<i>Hypothalamic Pituitary Axis</i>
HPETES	<i>Hydro peroxy eicosatetraenoic acid</i>
IMN	<i>Isosorbide Mononitrate</i>
INR	<i>International Normalized Ratio</i>
IOL	<i>Induction of labor</i>
LAPG	<i>Locally acting prostaglandins</i>
LMP	<i>Last menstrual period</i>

List of Abbreviations (Cont...)

Abb.	Full term
LT	<i>Leukotrienes</i>
mg	<i>Milligram</i>
mL	<i>Milliliter</i>
MLCK	<i>Myosin light chain kinase</i>
MMP	<i>Matrix Metalloproteinases</i>
mRNA	<i>Messenger ribonucleic acid</i>
mU	<i>Milliunits</i>
NICE	<i>The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence</i>
NO	<i>Nitric Oxide</i>
NST	<i>Non Stress Test</i>
PAF	<i>Platelet Activating Factor</i>
PG	<i>Prostaglandin</i>
PGDH	<i>Prostaglandin Dehydrogenase Enzyme</i>
PGES	<i>Prostaglandin E Synthase</i>
PO	<i>Per Os</i>
PR	<i>Per Rectum</i>
PT	<i>Prothrombin Time</i>
PTT	<i>Partial Thromboplastin Time</i>
PV	<i>Per Vagina</i>
RCT	<i>Randomized Controlled Trial</i>
ROMs	<i>Rupture of membranes</i>
SD	<i>Standard deviation</i>
SOGC	<i>The Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists</i>
TVU-CL	<i>Trans Vaginal U/S- Cervical Length</i>
TXA2	<i>Thromboxane A2</i>

INTRODUCTION

The continuation of a woman's pregnancy requires that her cervix remains closed, rigid and that her uterus quiet and not contracting. Both of these conditions need to be reversed to initiate labor. The ways in which this is achieved are unknown but there is evidence that suggests the fetus itself plays an integral part. A woman's cervix, which contain little smooth muscle and is predominantly connective tissue with collagen as its main component, must undergo a process called ripening, where it becomes soft and pliable. This allows its shape to change from being long and closed to being thinned (effaced) and opening (dilating) (*Adams and Griffin, 2017*).

Induction of labor is a common intervention in obstetric practice, which is a procedure used to stimulate uterine contractions during pregnancy to accomplish delivery prior to the onset of spontaneous labor (*Aduloju and Akintayo, 2017*).

Laughon et al. (2012) demonstrated that over 40% of primiparous women, and over 30% of multiparous women, undergo labor induction.

The portion of pregnancies undergoing induction varies widely between countries, but it is estimated that approximately 20% of labors in the UK and USA are induced (*Calder et al., 2008*).

Successful labor induction leads to a vaginal birth. A health care provider might recommend labor induction for various reasons, primarily when there's concern for a mother's health or a baby's health. Labor induction carries various risks, including infection and the need for a Cesarean section. Sometimes the benefits of labor induction outweigh the risks (*ACOG, 2013*).

According to the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG), labor should be induced only when it is more risky for the baby to remain inside the mother's uterus than to be born (*Duro Gomez et al., 2016*).

Cervical status is a good predictor of the likelihood of vaginal delivery when labor is induced. Any induction method is likely to be effective in a woman with a favorable cervix, whereas no method is highly successful when performed in a woman with a cervix that is unfavorable (i.e., firm, posterior, and neither dilated nor effaced). Therefore, if the cervix is unfavorable, a ripening process is generally employed prior to induction (*Duro Gomez et al., 2016*).

Cervical ripening is a complex process that results in physical softening and distensibility of the cervix, ultimately leading to partial cervical effacement and dilatation. Remodeling of the cervix involves enzymatic dissolution of collagen fibrils, increase in water content, and chemical changes. These changes are induced by hormones (estrogen,

progesterone, relaxin), as well as cytokines, prostaglandins, and nitric oxide synthesis enzymes. The two major techniques for iatrogenic cervical ripening are (1) mechanical (physical) interventions, such as insertion of catheters or cervical dilators, and (2) application of cervical ripening agents, such as prostaglandins (*Ezebialu et al., 2015*).

Common indications for induction of labor are post-term pregnancy, hypertensive disorders, prelabor rupture of membranes, diabetes in pregnancy, suspected intrauterine growth retardation, macrosomia. Moreover the rate of elective inductions i.e. induction without a medical indication, is rising rapidly. Reasons for wanting elective induction at term might include a women's physical discomfort, convenience of providers, or concern about the rapid progression of labor away from the hospital. Some clinicians may recommend elective induction due to concern about future complications (*Boulvian, 2008*).

Methods for labor induction include both mechanical and pharmacological options. Pharmacological interventions to ripen the cervix as part of labor induction include administration of oxytocin, and prostaglandins delivered orally or vaginally. However, when induction of labor is attempted for a woman with an unfavorable cervix, other interventions used to assist the induction process, such as oxytocin or rupture of membranes, are connected with reduced effectiveness and high failure rates (*Aduloju et al., 2016*).

Prostaglandins are frequently used for labor induction in pregnant women. The presence of cervical immaturity indicates the use of prostaglandin compounds, frequently followed by oxytocin infusion, prostaglandins are received orally or applied locally to the cervix or the vagina, to promote both cervical ripening and myometrial contractility (*Sifakis et al., 2007*).

Various prostaglandins preparations including misoprostol vaginal tablets, dinoprostone vaginal gel and vaginal insert are commercially available to be used in labor induction. Misoprostol is a synthetic prostaglandin E1 analogue and has been reported to be a considerably safe and efficacious cervical ripener. It's inexpensive, easy to administer, stable at room temperature, does not require refrigeration. It acts as an effective myometrial stimulant of the pregnant uterus, selectively binding to EP-2/EP-3 prostaglandin receptors (*Topozada, 1994*).

In spite of different doses and routes of administration (sublingual, oral, vaginal), ideal dosage and mode of administration still remain to be controversial. Potential complications such as uterine rupture, tachysystole and uterine hyperstimulation should be emphasized with respect to adverse maternal-neonatal outcome (*Ozkan et al., 2009*).

The use of Foley's catheter as a mechanical method for labor induction has been recommended in many developing countries. The reports from different countries have mentioned

excellent results with the use of Foley's catheter either alone or in combination with prostaglandins (*Topozada, 1994; Mekbib, 1994; Obed and Adewole, 1994; Atad et al., 1996; Jozwiak et al., 2013*). Although the exact mode of action of Foley's catheter is not fully understood. Yet it has been postulated that the catheter stimulates various unspecified regions of the uterus, elevates its excitability and causes regular uterine contractions (*Levine et al., 2016a*).

Embrey (1969) first described using a transcervical Foley's catheter for cervical ripening. *Obed and Adewole (1994)* documented its effectiveness by increasing "Bishop's Score" in women with unripe cervixes. Since then two studies found transcervical Foley's catheter appears to offer significant advantages over prostaglandin preparations which has led to an increased use of transcervical Foley's catheter for preinduction cervical ripening and induction of labor (*Sciscione et al., 2004; Levine et al., 2016b*).

AIM OF THE WORK

The aim of present study is to compare the safety and efficacy of vaginal misoprostol versus the combination of vaginal misoprostol and intracervical Foley catheter in induction of labor at term pregnancy.

1. Research Question:

Does vaginal misoprostol and the combined use of intracervical Foley catheter and vaginal misoprostol have the same safety and efficacy in women undergoing induction of labor at term pregnancy?

2. Research Hypothesis:

Null hypothesis: In women undergoing induction of labor at term pregnancy, using vaginal misoprostol alone has the same safety and efficacy than the combined use of intracervical Foley catheter with vaginal misoprostol.