



Effect of Different Treatment Modalities on The Supporting Structures of Lower Kennedy Class II cases with Pier Abutment

Thesis submitted to the Removable Prosthodontics
Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, in
partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Doctor
Degree in Oral and Maxillofacial Prosthodontics.

By

Sara Ibrahim Soliman Mohamed

B.D.S, 2009

M.D.S, 2015

Ain Shams University

**Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University**

2019

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

وَمَا تَوْفِيقِي إِلَّا بِاللَّهِ
عَلَيْهِ تَوَكَّلْتُ وَإِلَيْهِ أُنِيبُ.

صَدَقَ اللَّهُ الْعَظِيمِ

سورة هود (الآية ٨٨)

SUPERVISORS

Dr. Ingy Amen Talaat Lebshtien

Professor of Removable Prosthodontics

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

Dr. Magdy Eid Mohamed

Professor of Removable Prosthodontics

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

Dr. Shaimaa Lotfy Mohamed Ouda

Assistant Professor of Removable Prosthodontics

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University



Acknowledgement

First of all, I thank **Allah** for his great support in accomplishing this work,

I would like to express my deep and sincere gratitude to my supervisor **Dr. Ingy Talaat** Professor of Removable Prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain-Shams University, for her valuable remarks and vast knowledge. I owe her a lot for her kind guidance and faithful advice. I was fortunate to conduct this work under her valuable supervision.

Words do fail to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to **Dr. Magdy Eid Mohamed**, Professor of Removable Prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his valuable instructions, meticulous advices, expert touches and generosity in giving his time and care.

No Words can express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to **Dr. Shaimaa Lotfy Mohamed**, Assistant Professor of Removable Prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for her excellent guidance, extraordinary support and great help that facilitated all the difficulties that faced me

I would like to thank my mother, my father, my dearest husband and my sons. Their love, patience and support are most appreciated.

Last but not least, I would like to thank all staff members of Removable Prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for their constant care, support, encouragement and assistance.



Sara Ibrahim soliman

LIST OF CONTENTS

Title	Page
Introduction	1
Review of literature	4
I-Problems of Kennedy class II removable partial dentures:	6
1) Problems of support	6
2) Problems of retention	7
3) Problems of stability	10
4) Torque to the abutment teeth	11
5) Residual ridge resorption	12
II-Different treatment modalities for Kennedy class II cases:	13
1) Conventional removable partial denture:	13
➤ Additional considerations for management of distal extension cases in conjunction with isolated abutments:	14
i-Fixed splinting of isolated abutments	16
ii-Inclusion of the isolated abutment in the RPD design	20
iii-Use of isolated teeth as overdenture abutments	23
iv-Use of implants to solve the problem of isolated abutments	25
2) Shortened dental arch (SDA)	28
3) Attachment retained removable partial denture	31
4) Implant assisted removable partial denture	32
5) Implant supported fixed partial denture	34
i. Totally implant dependent fixed restoration	35
ii. Tooth implant connection	36

Title	Page
III-Assessment of removable partial denture supporting structures:	38
1) Clinical evaluation of abutments	38
2) Radiographic evaluation	44
Aim of the Study	51
Materials and Methods	52
Results	122
Discussion	144
Summary&Conclusion	164
Recommendations	167
References	168
Arabic Summary	—

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.		Page
(1)	Mandibular unilateral distal extension edentulous space (Kennedy class II) with pier abutment opposing partially dentate maxillary arch.	58
(2)	Assessment of bone adequacy using the bone caliber.	58
(3)	Preoperative periapical radiographs.	59
(4)	Evaluation of available bone in Cone beam computerized tomography.	59
(5)	Upper and lower diagnostic impressions.	61
(6)	Evaluation of mounted diagnostic casts.	61
(7)	Diagnostic mock up on the diagnostic cast.	62
(8)	RPD design and treatment plan chart form.	62
(9)	Tapered threaded implant 3.7mm in diameter and 11.5mm in length.	68
(10)	Implant surgical kit.	68
(11)	Incision and Flap elevation.	69
(12)	Initial perforation of the cortical bone using IP drill.	69
(13)	The direction Indicator inserted to verify proper location and angulation of osteotomy.	70
(14)	The prepared osteotomy.	70
(15)	Tapping performed slowly using the finger key.	71
(16)	Use of ratchet wrench.	71

Figure No.		Page
(17)	The implant cervical portion flushed with the crestal bone.	72
(18)	Implant opening closed tightly with the Implant cover screw.	72
(19)	Flap repositioned and sutured.	73
(20)	Sutures removed 7 days postoperative.	73
(21)	Radiograph showing an implant with favorable bone pattern and no signs of failure or peri-implant radiolucency.	75
(22)	The exposed implant site.	75
(23)	Placing the healing abutment.	75
(24)	Flap repositioned and sutured around the healing collar.	76
(25)	Soft tissue healing after suture removal.	76
(26)	The gingival tissues sculptured around the implant.	83
(27)	The impression transfer coping.	83
(28)	Periapical radiograph taken to verify seating of the impression coping upon the implant.	84
(29)	The set impression after removal from patient mouth.	84
(30)	The implant analogue attached to the transfer coping outside the impression.	85
(31)	The transfer-analogue assembly repositioned inside the impression.	85
(32)	The silicon gum gingival mask.	86
(33)	The implant working model.	86

Figure No.		Page
(34)	The prepared titanium abutment screwed in the working model, with groove prepared on its labial surface.	87
(35)	Try in of metal coping before porcelain packing.	87
(36)	Intraoral view of the prepared titanium abutment screwed to the implant.	88
(37)	Crown cementation on the working model.	88
(38)	Radiograph showing proper seating of the crown on implant.	89
(39)	Screw tightening to the manufacturer's recommended torque.	89
(40)	The final crown in place.	89
(41)	Upper and lower primary impressions.	93
(42)	Study cast surveying.	93
(43)	Design drawn on the study cast.	94
(44)	Secondary impression.	94
(45)	The partial denture framework (group I) on master cast.	94
(46)	The altered cast impression.	95
(47)	The waxed up denture.	95
(48)	Denture inserted in patient's mouth (group I).	95
(49)	The prepared canine and second premolar.	99
(50)	The prepared canine and second premolar impression.	99
(51)	The bridge wax pattern.	100

Figure No.		Page
(52)	The bridge metal try in.	100
(53)	Shade selection.	101
(54)	Adjustment of veneered bridge contours on the milling machine.	101
(55)	Verifying bridge contours on the surveyor.	102
(56)	The bridge after cementation.	102
(57)	Design drawn on the study cast.	103
(58)	Secondary impression.	103
(59)	Metal try in of RPD framework.	103
(60)	The altered cast impression.	104
(61)	The waxed up denture.	104
(62)	Denture inserted in patient's mouth (group II).	104
(63)	Upper and lower primary impressions.	106
(64)	Design drawn on the study cast.	106
(65)	Secondary impression.	107
(66)	The partial denture framework (group III) on the master cast.	107
(67)	The altered cast impression.	108
(68)	The waxed up denture.	108
(69)	Denture inserted in patient's mouth (group III).	109
(70)	Radiographic template.	109
(71)	Pocket depth measurement.	113

Figure No.		Page
(72)	The anterior and posterior sensor holders, positioning ring and bar.	113
(73)	The complete setup of the positioning bar, sensor and the sensor holder enclosed within the customized silicon template or its resin duplicate.	114
(74)	The aiming ring individualized with silicon butty.	114
(75)	Radiographic template inserted Intraorally during image acquisition.	115
(76)	The dental x ray unit.	115
(77)	Acquisition of digital radiographs by the GXS-700 with the cylinder tube fixed (A) & the complete setup in the mouth (B).	116
(78)	Digital images displayed on the PC monitor screen.	117
(79)	The sensor enclosed in a hygienic sheath.	117
(80)	The GXS-700 software.	120
(81)	Measurement of average bone height around the abutments.	120
(82)	Measurement of average bone height of the edentulous ridge 10mm distal to the second premolar.	121
(83)	Patients follow up computerized file.	121
(84)	Bar graph representing bone height changes for group I through the follow up period.	125
(85)	Bar graph representing bone height changes for group II through the follow up period.	128
(86)	Bar graph representing bone height changes for group III through the follow up period.	131

Figure No.		Page
(87)	Bar graph representing bone height changes for group I through the follow up period.	132
(88)	Bar graph representing bone height changes for group I, group II& group III through the follow up period.	135
(89)	Bar graph representing pocket depth changes for group I patients through the follow up period.	137
(90)	Bar graph representing pocket depth changes for group II patients through the follow up period.	139
(91)	Bar graph representing pocket depth changes for group III patients through the follow up period.	141
(92)	Bar graph representing pocket depth changes for group I, group II & group III patients through the follow up period.	143

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page
(I)	Mean values (mm), standard deviation (SD), mean difference and Anova test for repeated measures of bone height changes for group I patients through the follow up period.	124
(II)	Mean values (mm), standard deviation (SD), mean difference and Anova test for repeated measures of bone height changes for group II patients through the follow up period.	127
(III)	Mean values (mm), standard deviation (SD), mean difference and Anova test for repeated measures of bone height changes for group III through the follow up period.	130
(IV)	Mean values (mm), standard deviation (SD), mean difference and Anova test for repeated measures of peri-implant bone height changes for group I patients through the follow up period.	132
(V)	Mean values (mm), standard deviation (SD) and one way Anova followed by tukey post hock test for comparing supporting tissues bone height changes between groups I, II & III through the follow up period.	135
(VI)	Mean values (mm), standard deviation (SD) and Anova test for repeated measures of the pocket depth changes for group I through the follow up period.	136
(VII)	Mean values (mm), standard deviation (SD) and Anova test for repeated measures of the pocket depth changes for group II through the follow up period.	138
(VIII)	Mean values (mm), standard deviation (SD) and Anova test for repeated measures of the pocket depth changes for group III through the follow up period.	140
(IX)	Mean values (mm), standard deviation (SD) and one way Anova followed by tukey post hock test for pocket depth changes in group I, group II & group III patients through the follow up period.	142

Introduction

A biomechanically acceptable removable partial denture design is essential for proper force distribution on the denture supporting structures particularly in the distal-extension removable partial dentures (RPD). Functional forces applied to the denture base create an axis of rotation around the most distal abutment teeth, subjecting them to distal tipping, rotation, torque and horizontal movement. This situation is more complicated when the most distal abutment is isolated one (pier abutment), as it will be subjected also to mesial tipping due to lack of mesial contact, promoting a fulcrum like situation of mesiodistal torquing leading to rapid destruction of its supporting periodontium.

Different treatment modalities have been suggested to reduce harmful forces directed to pier abutments. One approach involves the inclusion of the isolated tooth in the removable partial denture design. Functional denture stability, good retention, and satisfactory aesthetics are important factors for a successful treatment with RPD. In addition, the distribution of the functional loads should be optimized among the abutment teeth and the alveolar ridge. The use of only two rests on the pier abutment has been recommended to reduce the potentially detrimental forces transmitted to the pier abutment supporting structures. However from an esthetic point of view, there are those who prefer clasping the pier abutment (second

premolar) instead of placing the direct retainer on the canine. The use of only proximal plates on the mesial and distal aspects of the isolated tooth to minimize lateral forces directed to it also has been described in the literature.⁽¹⁾

Another approach recommended to reduce stresses transmitted to the pier abutment involves splinting to the nearest tooth by a fixed partial denture. Splinting creates an intact dental arch anterior to the free end edentulous space; stabilizes the abutment teeth in a mesiodistal direction and provides multiple abutment support. Moreover, if one of the teeth included in the fixed splint is the canine, or if the splint extended anteriorly around the curve of the arch, the splinted teeth will be stabilized in a facio-lingual direction as well. Thus, fixed splinting minimizes detrimental forces on those abutment teeth, provides improved stabilization and a much better long-term prognosis.^(2, 3)

Nowadays the use of dental implants has solved many problems associated with removable partial denture design. Such therapy can result in exceptionally stable, retentive and esthetic restorations that are biomechanically sound and readily maintained.⁽²⁾ Studies that have investigated the use of implant supported crowns to manage challenging partial denture designs showed that; using an implant in the edentulous space anterior to the pier abutment and restoring it by independent crown has completely eliminated the fulcrum like situation associated with the pier abutment.^(4, 5)

Although long term clinical researches have been published evaluating the generalized effect of different distal-extension partial